Mobile and Ubiquitous Computing

Resource Constrained Devices

George Roussos

g.roussos@dcs.bbk.ac.uk





Session Overview

- Resource constrained devices
 - evolution, architecture, components
 - a detailed example
- Energy efficiency
- Programming primitives in Tiny OS
- Concurrency





Drivers

Moore's Law:

"the complexity of an integrated circuit, with respect to minimum component cost, will double in about 18 months

"Cramming more components onto integrated circuits", *Electronics Magazine*, April 1965.







More Drivers

- Cheap and reliable communications:
 - short-range RF, infrared, optical
 - low power
- New interesting sensors
 - light, heat, humidity
 - position, movement, acceleration, vibration
 - chemical presence, biosensor
 - magnetic field, electrical inc. bio-signals (ECG and EEG)
 - RFID
 - acoustic (microphone)





Long-term objective

- Completely integrated
 - one package includes: computation, communication, sensing, actuation, (renewable) power source
 - modular
- Less than a cubic millimeter in volume
- Cheap
- Diverse in design and usage
- Robust
- Main challenge: energy efficiency!





Device evolution





MICA (2002)



Speck (2003)







Internet 0 at MIT Centre of Atoms and Bits

http://cba.mit.edu/~neilg







Smart-its http://www.smart-its.org/







gumstix http://www.gumstix.org/







pico-TRON

Hardware-software platform from Japan

Derived from TRON

http://www.t-engine.org/





IMEC Sensor Cube

Very low power, modular design for body area applications

Tiny OS and embedded C



Tmote Sky

- Texas Instruments MSP430
 - 16-bit RISC, 8MHz, 10k RAM, 48k Flash, 128b storage
 - Integrated analog-to-digital converter (12 bit ADC)
- Chipcon wireless transceiver
 - IEEE 802.15.4 (Zigbee) compatible
 - 250kbps at 2.4GHz
- Sensirion SHT11/SHT15 sensor module
 - humidity and temperature
- Hamamatsu light sensors
 - S1087 (photosynthetic)
 - S1087-01 (full visible spectrum)







Module layout (top)





Module layout (bottom)







Block diagram





Where does the power go?

- Processing
 - excluding low-level processing for radio, sensors, actuators
- Radio
- Sensors
- Actuators
- Power supply



discussion follows Srivastana tutorial (check module website)





Sky module characteristics

Current Consumption: MCU on, Radio RX	21.8	23	mA
Current Consumption: MCU on, Radio TX	19.5	21	mA
Current Consumption: MCU on, Radio off	1800	2400	μΑ
Current Consumption: MCU idle, Radio off	54.5	1200	μΑ
Current Consumption: MCU standby	5.1	21.0	μΑ

Need power management to actually exploit energy efficiency:

- •idle and sleep modes
- •variable voltage
- •variable frequency
- •in-network storage and processing

Chipcon radio is only a transceiver, and a lot of low-level processing takes place in the main CPU. Contrast this with Wi-Fi radio which will do everything up to MAC and link level encryption in the "radio."





Sensors and power consumption

- Several energy consumption sources
 - transducer
 - front-end processing and signal conditioning
 - analog, digital
 - ADC conversion
- Diversity of sensors: no general conclusions can be drawn
 - Low-power modalities
 - Temperature, light, accelerometer
 - Medium-power modalities
 - Acoustic, magnetic
 - High-power modalities
 - Image, video, chemical





Observations

- Radio benefits less from technology improvements than processors
- The relative impact of the communication subsystem on the system energy consumption will grow
- Using low-power components and trading-off unnecessary performance for power savings can have orders of magnitude impact
- Node power consumption is strongly dependent on the operating mode
- At short ranges, the Rx power consumption > T power consumption
- Idle radio consumes almost as much power as radio in Rx mode
- Processor power fairly significant (30-50%) share of overall power
- In many cases, the sensor overhead is negligible





Programming challenges

- Driven by interaction with environment
 - Data collection and control, not general purpose computation
 - Reactive, event-driven programming model
- Extremely limited resources
 - Very low cost, size, and power consumption
 - Typical embedded OSs consume hundreds of KB of memory
- Reliability for long-lived applications
 - Apps run for months/years without human intervention
 - Reduce run time errors and complexity
- Soft real-time requirements
 - Few time-critical tasks (sensor acquisition and radio timing)
 - Timing constraints through complete control over app and OS





Current popular platform

- **NesC**: a C dialect for embedded programming
 - Components, "wired together"
 - Quick commands and asynch events

- TinyOS: a set of NesC components
 - hardware components
 - ad-hoc network formation
 & maintenance
 - time synchronization





Tiny OS facts

- Very small "operating system" for sensor networks
 - Core OS requires 396 bytes of memory
- Component-oriented architecture
 - Set of reusable system components: sensing, communication, timers, etc.
 - No binary kernel build app specific OS from components
- Concurrency based on **tasks** and **events**
 - Task: deferred computation, runs to completion, no preemption
 - Event: Invoked by module (upcall) or interrupt, may preempt tasks or other events
 - Very low overhead, no threads
- Split-phase operations
 - No blocking operations
 - Long-latency ops (sensing, comm, etc.) are **split phase**
 - Request to execute an operation returns immediately
 - Event signals completion of operation



discussion follows Welsh check module website



nesC facts

- Dialect of C with support for components
 - Components provide and require interfaces
 - Create application by wiring together components using configurations
- Whole-program compilation and analysis
 - nesC compiles entire application into a single C file
 - Compiled to mote binary by back-end C compiler (e.g., gcc)
 - Allows aggressive cross-component inlining
 - Static data-race detection
- Important restrictions
 - No function pointers (makes whole-program analysis difficult)
 - No dynamic memory allocation
 - No dynamic component instantiation/destruction
 - These static requirements enable analysis and optimization





nesC interfaces

nesC interfaces are bidirectional

- Command: Function call from one component requesting service from another
- **Event:** Function call indicating completion of service by a component
- Grouping commands/events together makes inter-component protocols clear

```
interface Timer {
   command result_t start(char type, uint32_t interval);
   command result_t stop();
   event result_t fired();
}
interface SendMsg {
   command result_t send(TOS_Msg *msg, uint16_t length);
   event result_t sendDone(TOS_Msg *msg, result_t success);
}
```





nesC components

- Two types of components
 - Modules contain implementation code
 - Configurations wire other components together
 - An application is defined with a single top-level configuration

```
module TimerM {
          provides {
                                                   StdControl
            interface StdControl;
                                                              Timer
            interface Timer;
                                                   TimerM
          }
                                                           Clock
          uses interface Clock;
        } implementation {
          command result_t Timer.start(char type, uint32_t interval) { ... }
          command result_t Timer.stop() { ... }
          event void Clock.tick() { ... }
        }
londonknowledgelab
                             Center
```



nesC configurations







Concurrency in nesC

- Tasks used as deferred computation mechanism
 - Commands and events cannot block
 - Tasks run to completion, scheduled non-preemptively
 - Scheduler may be FIFO, EDF, etc.

```
// Signaled by interrupt handler
event void Receive.receiveMsg(TOS_Msg *msg) {
    if (recv_task_busy) {
        return; // Drop!
    }
    recv_task_busy = TRUE;
    curmsg = msg;
    post recv_task();
    }
    task void recv_task() {
        // Process curmsg ...
        recv_task_busy = FALSE;
    }
    []ondonknowledgelab
```



More on concurrency

- All code is classified as one of two types:
 - Asynchronous code (AC): Code reachable from at least one interrupt handler
 - Synchronous code (SC): Code reachable only from tasks
- Any update to shared state from AC is a potential data race
 - SC is atomic with respect to other SC (no preemption)
 - Race conditions are shared variables between SC and AC, and AC and AC
 - Compiler detects data races by walking call graph from interrupt handlers





Avoiding a data race

- Two ways to fix a data race
 - Move shared variable access into tasks
 - Use an atomic section

or

- Short, run-to-completion atomic blocks
- Currently implemented by disabling interrupts

```
atomic {
sharedvar = sharedvar+1;
}
```





Summary

- Resource constrained devices
 - evolution, architecture, components
 - a detailed example
- Energy efficiency
- Programming primitives in Tiny OS
- Concurrency

